



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,963	09/18/2003	Motoyoshi Murakami	10873.1304US01	8328	
23552 . 7	590 03/30/2005		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			DAVIS, DAVID DONALD		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2652		
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2009	DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\			
•)		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
		10/665,963	MURAKAMI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · ·			
		David D. Davis	2652				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	S			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commun	lication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawith Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers _						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		-	• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	-					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Apporty documents have been received in Apporty documents have been received.	pplication No eceived in this National Stage	e			
Attachment	(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s).	immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -				

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, drawn to a magnetic recording medium, classified in class 360, subclass 135.
- II. Claims 18-24, drawn to method for producing a magnetic recording medium, classified in class 29, subclass 603.01.
- III. Claims 25-27, drawn to a magnetic recording/reproducing apparatus, classified in class 360, subclass 97.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one that does not require dry etching.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the product as claimed can be made by another materially different apparatus such as one that does not require expanding the transcribed magnetic domains by forming a thermal gradient in the reproduction layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,963

Art Unit: 2652

4. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions

Page 3

are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as

claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand such as one that

does not require expanding the transcribed magnetic domains by forming a thermal gradient in

the reproduction layer.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Species I: Figures 1-4

Species II: Figures 5-7

Species III: Figure 8

Species IV: Figure 9

Species V: Figure 10

Species VI: Figures 11-13

Application/Control Number: 10/665,963

Art Unit: 2652

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2652

ddd

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-7572. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David D. Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652